## EXHIBIT C

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT C | OURT                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW | YORK                  |
|                          | X                     |
| MIRIAM BAUZA,            |                       |
| •                        | Plaintiff,            |
| - against -              | Case No. 07 CIV. 6542 |
| MEDIACOM COMMUNICATIONS  | CORPORATION,          |
|                          | Defendant.            |
|                          | X                     |
|                          | March 17, 2008        |

Deposition of JUDY MILLS, a witness on behalf of the Defendant herein, taken pursuant to Notice,

2:05 p.m.

and held at the offices of Bonnist & Cutro, 800 Westchester Avenue, Suite S332, Rye Brook, New York, before April Pearl Schirm, a Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York.

JUDY MILLS 1 2 Α. Correct. 3 Did you type this document up yourself? 4 5 Α. Yes, I did. 6 After reviewing this document, any Q. 7 other inconsistencies that you noticed? 8 Α. No. 9 And on the second paragraph of this Q. memorandum, which is Plaintiff's Number 8, it 10 begins: On November 13th, it was learned, while 11 on a leave of absence, Ms. Bauza may have 12 collected the disability payments. So the 13th is 13 14 the date that Ms. Burgos came to you with that 15 information? 16 Yes. 17 It also mentions here that copies of endorsed checks you received on the 14th. Where 18 did you receive those checks from? 19 They would have probably come from 20 21 Aetna, I believe. 22 Q. How would Aetna provide those checks 23 to you? 24 I'm not sure I understand your

question.



- 24 Right. Q.
- 25 Α. Okay.



| 1  | JUDY MILLS   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Q. Did you what did you believe of the             |
| 3  | veracity of Ms. Bauza's statement, that she called |
| 4  | someone at Aetna and they told her that they       |
| 5  | calculated it correctly?                           |
| 6  | MR. RIOLO: Objection. When?                        |
| 7  | Q. When she first told you at the                  |
| 8  | November 15 meeting.                               |
| 9  | A. At that time, I was believing her. I            |
| 10 | was hoping. Nobody wanted everybody liked          |
| 11 | Miriam. We were hoping that we would be able to    |
| 12 | get this cleared up.                               |
| 13 | Q. When Ms. Bauza wasn't able to clarify           |
| 14 | it, did you change your position regarding the     |
| 15 | veracity of her statement that she called someone  |
| 16 | at Aetna, and they told her that they calculated   |
| 17 | the benefits correctly?                            |
| 18 | A. I can't say that we I would say                 |
| 19 | that we questioned whether or not we had all of    |
| 20 | the information from her. I shouldn't say whether  |
| 21 | we had all the information. But we questioned      |
| 22 | what she was telling us at that point.             |
| 23 | Q. After that meeting on November 15th, I          |
| 24 | believe you testified that there was another       |
|    |  |

25 meeting with Ms. Commisso-Weinand and Mr. Gillert



| 1  | JUDY MILLS   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | regarding Ms. Bauza's termination?                 |  |
| 3  | A. Yes.  |  |
| 4  | Q. Between November 15th and that                  |  |
| 5  | meeting, the information you had received was that |  |
| 6  | Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 7, which is the         |  |
| 7  | email  |  |
| 8  | A. Correct.  |  |
| 9  | Q concerning Mr. Rubin's report on                 |  |
| 10 | the situation?                                     |  |
| 11 | A. Yes.  |  |
| 12 | Q. And the input you got from Ms. Bauza            |  |
| 13 | after the 15th meeting, November 15th meeting?     |  |
| 14 | A. Yes.  |  |
| 15 | Q. Anything else did you utilize at that           | induses were distributed and we described the solvent week of the  |
| 16 | meeting to decide whether or not to terminate Ms.  | and the second s |
| 17 | Bauza?   |  |
| 18 | A. No.   |  |
| 19 | MR. RIOLO: Other than the                          |  |
| 20 | earlier stuff too?                                 |  |
| 21 | MR. CUTRO: Yes.                                    |  |
| 22 | A. NO.   |  |
| 23 | Q. What happened at that meeting? Do you           |  |
| 24 | know the date of that meeting you had with         | (See   |
| 25 | Mr. Gillert and Ms. Weinand?                       |  |



53 JUDY MILLS 1 2 provide you regarding her claim that she called 3 Aetna? When did she get back to me? Α. 4 5 Q. Yes. A. I don't recall. 6 Was it prior to the 21st or after the 7 Q. 21st of November? 8 9 I don't know. Was it prior to the meeting you had 10 11 with Ms. Weinand and Mr. Gillert? 12 Α. Yes. What happened at that meeting where 13 you ultimately decided -- when a decision was made 14 15 to terminate Ms. Bauza? What happened then? 16 We just talked about the situation. 17 We talked about the fact that Miriam was in a position of trust. And that given the 18 circumstances, we believe that we needed to 19 20 terminate her employment. We could not continue 21 her employment.

- How long did that meeting take? 22 Q.
- 23 I don't know. I mean, I don't think
- it was more than a half an hour or so. 24
- When you say given the circumstances, 25 Q.



| .L. | JODA WIFT?   |
|-----|--|
| 2   | what were the circumstances?                       |
| 3   | A. That she received the overpayment,              |
| 4   | that she knew that it was incorrect and that she   |
| 5   | never brought it to our attention. Those were the  |
| 6   | circumstances.                                     |
| 7   | Q. At that meeting, was it ever discussed          |
| 8   | whether there were similar fact patterns that had  |
| 9   | arisen with other employees and what discipline    |
| 10  | was used in those types of situations?             |
| 11  | A. No.   |
| 12  | Q. Who had the ultimate authority to make          |
| 13  | the decision to terminate Ms. Bauza at that        |
| 14  | meeting?   |
| 15  | A. The ultimate authority would have been          |
| 16  | Italia Commisso-Weinand and Mark Stefan.           |
| 17  | Q. Did you make a recommendation at that           |
| 18  | meeting as to how to handle Ms. Bauza, or did you  |
| 19  | present the facts or both?                         |
| 20  | A. I made a recommendation, yes.                   |
| 21  | Q. What was your recommendation?                   |
| 22  | A. My recommendation was termination.              |
| 23  | Q. Now, was the fact that Ms. Bauza was            |
| 24  | out on disability leave discussed at that meeting? |
| 25  | A. No.   |



| 1  | JUDY MILLS  |
|----|---|
| 2  | Q. In making the recommendation to                |
| 3  | terminate Ms. Bauza, did you consider that        |
| 4  | treatment that Ms. Bauza was undergoing while she |
| 5  | was out on leave in regards to impacting her      |
| 6  | mental capacity and her ability to general, you   |
| 7  | know, physical well being?                        |
| 8  | MR. RIOLO: Objection.                             |
| 9  | THE WITNESS: Can I answer?                        |
| 10 | Q. Yes.   |
| 11 | A. It was clear because she had already           |
| 12 | said that she knew it was an overpayment, okay,   |
| 13 | that she already knew that. It wasn't something   |
| 14 | that she claimed she did not know. Okay. I would  |
| 15 | have to say that her I didn't have to consider    |
| 16 | that because she had already said that she knew   |
| 17 | that there was an overpayment.                    |
| 18 | Q. Understood.                                    |
| 19 | A. Okay.  |
| 20 | Q. You know, the memo your                        |
| 21 | recollection is that she did acknowledge these    |
| 22 | payments?   |
| 23 | A. Yes.   |
| 24 | Q. Because she did call Aetna to question         |
| 25 | it, but in Ms. Bauza's ability to call Mediacom   |



| 1  | JUDY MILLS   |          |
|----|--|----------|
| 2  | immediately and try to resolve this issue, did you |          |
| 3  | take into consideration the fact that she had just |          |
| 4  | undergone surgery and was under several            |          |
| 5  | medications that may have impacted her ability to  |          |
| 6  | respond properly to this situation to Mediacom?    |          |
| 7  | MR. RIOLO: Objection.                              |          |
| 8  | A. I didn't because she had said that she          |          |
| 9  | was going to call Joe. So she had already came to  |          |
| 10 | that conclusion that she was going to call him.    |          |
| 11 | But she decided to wait until she returned. So I   |          |
| 12 | never had to take that into consideration.         |          |
| 13 | Q. Okay.   | (°       |
| 14 | A. Okay.   |          |
| 15 | Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Rubin to have              |          |
| 16 | Aetna get any phone logs from conversations that   |          |
| 17 | it had with Ms. Bauza?                             |          |
| 18 | A. I don't know if I specifically asked            |          |
| 19 | him to do that.                                    |          |
| 20 | Q. Did you have any knowledge about                |          |
| 21 | whether Aetna recorded phone calls that were made  |          |
| 22 | to it?   |          |
| 23 | A. I have sorry. Finish your                       |          |
| 24 | question.  | (enex. = |
| 25 | Q. Did you ever have any knowledge about           |          |



|    | 00   |
|----|--|
| 1  | JUDY MILLS   |
| 2  | whether Aetna recorded phone calls by its customer |
| 3  | to it regarding questions?                         |
| 4  | A. No. Specifically, no.                           |
| 5  | Q. Okay. In general?                               |
| 6  | A. In general, I had a hunch that they             |
| 7  | probably did, but I cannot speak for them.         |
| 8  | Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Rubin to inquire           |
| 9  | of Aetna whether they made a recording?            |
| 10 | A. No, I did not. I didn't ask                     |
| 11 | specifically for something like that.              |
| 12 | Q. Okay. That meeting that you had with            |
| 13 | Ms. Weinand and it was Mr. Gillert, right?         |
| 14 | A. Uh-huh.   |
| 15 | Q. And yourself, did you discuss the               |
| 16 | Bauza matter at all prior to the final termination |
| 17 | meeting with her with anyone else?                 |
| 18 | A. I would guess that we probably did              |
| 19 | have some discussion.                              |
| 20 | Q. Who would we be?                                |
| 21 | A. Paul Gillert and Italia and I.                  |
| 22 | Q. But the final decision was made at              |
| 23 | that meeting?                                      |
| 24 | A. Yes.  |
| 25 | Q. Was there any discussion of any other           |



| 1  | JUDY MILLS   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | type of discipline short of termination to invoke  |  |
| 3  | in this situation?                                 |  |
| 4  | A. I don't recall any other, any other             |  |
| 5  | discussion of any other disciplinary action.       |  |
| 6  | Q. Did the company review anything else            |  |
| 7  | in Ms. Bauza's personnel file in regards to making |  |
| 8  | the decision to terminate her?                     |  |
| 9  | A. No.   |  |
| 10 | Q. Performance had nothing to do with it?          |  |
| 11 | A. No.   |  |
| 12 | Q. At that meeting you had on the 15th             |  |
| 13 | with Ms. Burgos, Mr. Michulski and Ms. Bauza, did  |  |
| 14 | Ms. Bauza ever tell you during that meeting that   |  |
| 15 | she never collected disability benefits before?    |  |
| 16 | A. She may have. She may have.                     |  |
| 17 | Q. And you did know at that meeting that           |  |
| 18 | Ms. Bauza's responsibilities in her everyday job   |  |
| 19 | were not in the area of disability benefits,       |  |
| 20 | correct?   |  |
| 21 | A. Ms. Bauza has a background in                   |  |
| 22 | benefits. She administered similar benefits in     |  |
| 23 | the past. And she knew about the 66 and two        |  |
| 24 | thirds.  |  |
| 25 | Q. But that was not part of her job                |  |

| 1  | JUDY MILLS   |
|----|--|
| 2  | happy with how Regina had dealt with a doctor's    |
| 3  | appointment. Maybe it was the chemo. And I told    |
| 4  | Miriam to go talk with Brian Walsh if she had a    |
| 5  | concern.   |
| 6  | Q. Did Ms. Bauza talk to Mr. Walsh?                |
| 7  | A. As far as I know she did, yes.                  |
| 8  | Q. When was that that she raised that              |
| 9  | issue to you?                                      |
| 10 | A. I honestly don't remember.                      |
| 11 | Q. Prior to this issue being raised with           |
| 12 | the Aetna overpayment, right?                      |
| 13 | A. Oh, absolutely, it was prior to that.           |
| 14 | Q. After she returned from disability              |
| 15 | leave?   |
| 16 | A. Yeah.   |
| 17 | Q. Did you ever investigate further                |
| 18 | regarding the allegations that Ms. Burgos had made |
| 19 | that statement about Ms. Bauza abusing company     |
| 20 | time by going to chemotherapy?                     |
| 21 | MR. RIOLO: Objection.                              |
| 22 | A. I don't believe that that's how it was          |
| 23 | presented to me. I believe that it was more, I     |

came into work for an hour and a half and I had to

leave for an appointment. And Regina questioned

24



| 1  | JUDY MILLS   |
|----|--|
| 2  | the reason why she came into work. I don't think   |
| 3  | that it had there was a discussion that it was     |
| 4  | because she was going to chemotherapy. And that    |
| 5  | is when I told Miriam to go talk with Brian Walsh, |
| 6  | who would have been Regina's supervisor.           |
| 7  | Q. Could Ms. Bauza have phrased it as I            |
| 8  | asked it?  |
| 9  | A. I can't say how she would phrase it.            |
| 10 | I mean, I'm not there. I wasn't there, so I can't  |
| 11 | say.   |
| 12 | Q. I mean, Ms. Bauza's one of the                  |
| 13 | allegations in this lawsuit is that Ms. Bauza      |
| 14 | claims that she had told you that Ms. Burgos said  |
| 15 | that Ms. Bauza was abusing company time by her     |
| 16 | going to chemotherapy. Could she have used those   |
| 17 | words, that phrasing with you and you don't        |
| 18 | recall?  |
| 19 | A. I don't believe that that is how it             |
| 20 | was presented to me.                               |
| 21 | Q. When you were at that meeting with Ms.          |
| 22 | Bauza and others on November 15th, did Ms. Bauza   |
| 23 | ask you whether this issue of an overpayment had   |
| 24 | been raised before with other employees, in        |

regards to Aetna's payment of disability benefits?



JUDY MILLS 1 Mr. Michulski testified earlier today, 2 3 and he believed that when he was responsible for reviewing the payouts made by Aetna for disability 4 payments to Mediacom employees that he had came 5 across two other employees that were paid an 6 overpayment in error by Aetna. 7 okay. Α. 8 9 Q. Are you aware of that? I'm aware of a couple of other Α. 10 11 overpayments since Miriam, yes. Were these after Miriam? 12 Q. After Miriam. 13 Α. And these were by Aetna? 14 Q. A. Yes. 15 What were the facts surrounding those 16 Q. cases? 17 They were of minimal amounts. They 18 were -- I don't have all of the facts. I mean, 19 they were not people in positions that would cause 20 21 me to have to look into it or to react, I should 22 say, the way that we did or the way that we looked at it with Miriam. The amounts were certainly not 23

Q. Correct me if I'm wrong, in Ms.

up to \$4,000.

24



| JUDY MILLS  |
|---|
| Bauza's case, whether the amount was \$4,000 or   |
| \$.40, according to your testimony, it was wasn't |
| the amount, it was her position and               |
| responsibility?                                   |
| A. \$.40  |
| MR. RIOLO: Objection. Go ahead.                   |
| A. \$.40 would not have been noticeable at        |
| all. This was something that stood out, and it    |
| was impossible for somebody not to notice it.     |
| Q. Okay. Now, these two other incidents,          |
| how much were the amounts?                        |
| A. I don't know.                                  |
| Q. You don't know whether they were               |
| minimal or not?                                   |
| A. I know that they were minimal. I know          |
| that they were nowhere near \$4,000.              |
| Q. What were they near?                           |
| A. I honestly don't know the number.              |
| Q. How did those incidents come to your           |
| attention, the other two overpayments by Aetna?   |
| A. Probably because we were doing we              |
| were reviewing things more closely at this point. |
|   |
|   |

Q. Mr. Michulski testified he noticed



| 1  | JUDY MILLS                                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | these errors and brought them to someone's  |
| 3  | attention.                                  |
| 4  | A. Okay.                                    |
| 5  | Q. No disciplinary action was taken         |
| 6  | against those two employees that Aetna made |
| 7  | overpayments to; is that correct?           |
| 8  | A. I don't know of any disciplinary         |
| 9. | action, no.                                 |
| 10 | Q. What time period did these other two     |
| 11 | overpayments occur?                         |
| 12 | A. I don't know.                            |
| 13 | Q. After                                    |
| 14 | A. I have no idea.                          |
| 15 | Q. After Ms. Bauza was terminated, after    |
| 16 | November '06?                               |
| 17 | A. That is when I learned of them, yes.     |
| 18 | Q. Do you know if they were from            |
| 19 | incidents prior to November '06?            |
| 20 | A. I don't recall.                          |
| 21 | Q. When do you recall learning about        |
| 22 | them; around November '06?                  |
| 23 | A. Probably later than November '06.        |
| 24 | Q. After Ms. Bauza was terminated?          |
| 25 | A. Yeah.                                    |

| 1  | LODA WIFF?   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Q. Did you advise Ms. Burgos to sit down?          |
| 3  | A. Sure.   |
| 4  | Q. Did you take any other action at HR             |
| 5  | regarding that issue that Ms. Burgos brought to    |
| 6  | your attention?                                    |
| 7  | A. There was no need at that point to              |
| 8  | take any action.                                   |
| 9  | Q. Isn't it true that part of Ms. Burgos'          |
| 10 | concern was Ms. Falto was putting in to get paid   |
| 11 | for overtime for hours she may not have actually   |
| 12 | worked?  |
| 13 | A. I don't know that to be a fact. I               |
| 14 | don't know.  |
| 15 | Q. If that was a fact let me step back             |
| 16 | for a minute.                                      |
| 17 | If an employee puts in to get paid for             |
| 18 | time that they didn't work, whether it be overtime |
| 19 | or something else, as an HR person                 |
| 20 | A. I would be very concerned about that.           |
| 21 | Q. That is called theft of time, right?            |
| 22 | A. Yes. I would be very concerned about            |
| 23 | that.  |
|    |  |

Q. If you were advised by an employee of

Mediacom that another employee may have been

24

